Management of acute kidney injury in patients with COVID-19
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The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is rapidly evolving and expanding, its full spectrum of effects is becoming evident—from mild, self-limiting respiratory tract illness to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), multiple organ failure, and death.1,2 Kidney involvement is frequent in COVID-19; >40% of cases have abnormal proteinuria at hospital admission.3 Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common among critically ill patients with COVID-19, affecting approximately 20–40% of patients admitted to intensive care according to experience in Europe and the USA,4,5 and it is considered a marker of disease severity and a negative prognostic factor for survival.1,2 Furthermore, the overall burden of AKI in COVID-19 might be underestimated, as creatinine values at admission might not reflect true preadmission baseline kidney function, and previous serum creatinine values might not be readily available.1 Around 20% of patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) with COVID-19 require renal replacement therapy (RRT) at a median of 15 days from illness onset.1 Early recognition of kidney involvement in COVID-19 and use of preventive and therapeutic measures to limit subsequent AKI or progression to more severe stages are crucial to reduce morbidity and mortality.

In this Viewpoint, we discuss current understanding of the mechanisms of kidney involvement in COVID-19 and provide a series of recommendations for clinical practice on the basis of current clinical experience, covering prevention and management of AKI and potential indications for use of RRT and sequential extracorporeal therapies, including the practicalities of their delivery. We also suggest an agenda for future research to obtain adequate evidence to support clinical approaches.

Pathophysiology of AKI in COVID-19

The cause of kidney involvement in COVID-19 is likely to be multifactorial, with cardiovascular comorbidity and predisposing factors (eg, sepsis, hypovolaemia, and nephrotoxins) as important contributors.4 Cardiorenal syndrome, particularly right ventricular failure secondary to COVID-19 pneumonia, might lead to kidney congestion and subsequent AKI. Similarly, left ventricular dysfunction might lead to low cardiac output, arterial underfilling, and kidney hypoperfusion. Autopsy data3 indicate that the endothelium is affected in the lung and in the kidney, where it is probably responsible for proteinuria (figure 1). Furthermore, virus particles were reported to be present in renal endothelial cells, indicating viremia as a possible cause of endothelial damage and AKI due to viral infection.

Key messages

- Kidney involvement is common in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); patients can present with proteinuria at hospital admission, while acute kidney injury (AKI) frequently develops at later stages in critically ill patients and is recognised as a marker of multiple organ dysfunction and disease severity
- Volume depletion at admission might be a common trigger for AKI, as patients with COVID-19 typically present with fever and pre-hospital fluid resuscitation is rarely performed; lung-protective ventilation lowers the risk of new or worsening AKI by limiting ventilator-induced haemodynamic effects and the cytokine burden on the kidney
- In the absence of specific treatment options for COVID-19, care is largely supportive; we recommend the implementation of the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) supportive care guideline in critically ill patients at risk of AKI, the use of continuous renal replacement therapy (RRT) with specific adjustments for patients with COVID-19, and the possible use of cytokine removal strategies, ideally in the context of a clinical trial, in patients with early signs of hyperinflammation and cytokine release syndrome
- We recommend that fluid balance and extracorporeal ultrafiltration be adjusted on the basis of volume responsiveness and tolerance assessment, taking into account ventilator settings and recruitment manoeuvres
- For continuous RRT, we recommend cannulation of the right jugular vein and regional citrate as the preferred anticoagulation modality for RRT, as severe COVID-19 can induce a hypercoagulable state; connection of RRT to the extracorporeal membrane oxygenation circuit should be avoided to minimise clot formation in the latter
- International collaborative and cross-disciplinary research is needed to rigorously test the risks and benefits of interventions for AKI in patients with COVID-19
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Acute kidney injury in COVID-19

Multiple dependent pathways in the setting of COVID-19 increase the risk of acute kidney injury. The possible haemodynamic, proinflammatory, and proapoptotic consequences of lung inflammation, cytokine release syndrome, and hypercoagulability on renal function, and potential organ support options, are shown. ARDS=acute respiratory distress syndrome. COVID-19-coronavirus disease 2019. DAMPS=damage-associated molecular patterns. ECMO=extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. IL-6=interleukin-6. SARS-CoV-2-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. TNFα-tumour necrosis factor.

Management of AKI in COVID-19

In the absence of specific treatment options, the care strategy for patients with COVID-19 in the ICU remains largely supportive. Given the high incidence of kidney involvement in COVID-19, it is important to consider all available treatment options to support kidney function.

Clinical management

Implementation of the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) supportive care guideline (eg, avoidance of nephrotoxins, regular monitoring of serum creatinine and urine output, consideration of haemodynamic monitoring) in critically ill patients with kidney involvement is likely to reduce the occurrence and severity of AKI in COVID-19, but requires validation.\(^{12}\) Mitigation of volutrauma and barotrauma through the application of lung-protective ventilation lowers the risk of new or worsening AKI by limiting ventilation-induced haemodynamic effects and the cytokine burden on the kidney.\(^{13}\) Novel tubular damage biomarkers should be incorporated in future randomised clinical trials to investigate their value in AKI prediction and management.\(^{6}\)

Another important option is to adjust fluid balance according to volume responsiveness and tolerance assessment. This strategy aims to restore normal volume status to avoid volume overload and reduce the risk of pulmonary oedema, right ventricular overload, congestion, and subsequent AKI. Volume depletion at admission might be common in patients with COVID-19, as they typically present with fever and prehospital fluid resuscitation is rarely performed. In these cases, hypovolaemia should be corrected to prevent AKI. Relatively high positive end-expiratory pressure strategies and recruitment manoeuvres have been used in ARDS secondary to COVID-19;\(^{14}\) these strategies could further compromise cardiac output in the setting of relative hypovolaemia.

RRT and extracorporeal support

If conservative management fails, RRT should be considered in patients with volume overload, especially those with refractory hypoxaemia. In patients with COVID-19 and AKI, early initiation of RRT and sequential extracorporeal organ support (ECOS)\(^{15}\) seem to provide adequate organ support and prevent progression of disease severity (figure 2). This approach, however, should be tested in future clinical trials. Continuous RRT (CRRT) is the preferred modality in haemodynamically unstable patients with COVID-19.

During the turning of patients into the prone position, the dialysis catheter needs to be secured and monitored to avoid dislocation or kinking. The right jugular vein is the preferred insertion site, as the catheter exit site and anchoring remain visible after prone positioning. In an Italian study involving 1591 ICU patients with COVID-19, 27% required prone positioning.\(^{16}\) Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) was performed in 1% of these patients. When RRT is carried out in conjunction with ECMO, RRT should be performed through venous access independent of the ECMO circuit.
to minimise clot formation in the latter.\textsuperscript{11} Connection of RRT to ECMO, however, might be the only option in some patients because of the paucity of sites available for direct cannulation. In this case, the RRT outflow should be connected to the pre-oxygenator limb of the ECMO circuit, as the oxygenator can serve as a protective barrier and oxygenator pressures can minimise clot formation. Greater systemic anticoagulation could also alleviate this problem, but would increase the risk of haemorrhage.

A hypercoagulable state is often observed in severely ill patients with COVID-19.\textsuperscript{1} As such, anticoagulation protocols for the extracorporeal circuit must be tailored to the needs of individual patients. In CRRT, regional citrate anticoagulation is more efficacious than other anticoagulation methods in terms of prolonging the extracorporeal circuit lifespan and reducing the risk of bleeding. From our experience, lowering the post-filter ionised calcium concentration to approximately 0·25–0·35 mmol/L (usual concentration 0·30–0·45 mmol/L) in patients with COVID-19 is a viable option to prolong filter patency; this target is usually achieved with a starting dose of citrate 3·5 mmol/L of treated blood. If using low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), we recommend an initial dose of 3·5 mg/h and systemic anti-factor Xa activity of 0·25–0·35 IU/mL. Lastly, if using unfractionated heparin (UFH), we recommend an initial dose of 10–15 IU/kg per h and an activated partial thromboplastin time of 60–90 s. The targets for ionised calcium, anti-factor Xa activity, and activated partial thromboplastin time are indicators only, based on recent clinical experience with patients with COVID-19 rather than usual practice with AKI, and should be tailored to the needs of individual patients.

Evidence suggests that pre-filter anticoagulation with LMWH provides a higher circuit lifespan than with UFH.\textsuperscript{12} Additionally, continuous veno-venous haemodialysis modality (CVVHD) provides a longer filter lifespan with less internal haemoconcentration in the...
filter. CRRT should be delivered with a minimum dose of 20–25 mL/kg per h.12 This will usually require a higher dose prescription because of variable therapy downtime.

In the absence of established treatment options for COVID-19, the pathophysiological rationale might support the use of high cutoff or medium cutoff membranes in CVVHD to increase cytokine removal.13 Also, in the early phases of cytokine storm, the application of haemoperfusion with sorbent cartridges might prevent cytokine-induced kidney damage. These treatments might be indicated in special cases in which immunodysregulation is evident, inflammatory parameters or cytokines are elevated, and other supportive therapies are failing or insufficient. Although encouraging results have been reported, evidence for these treatments is limited at present, so they should otherwise be applied only in the context of a clinical trial to determine their safety and efficacy.14 Extracorporeal treatments do not compromise the experimental antibody-based therapies used in COVID-19, such as tocilizumab, intravenous immunoglobulins, and convalescent plasma administration. Neither haemodialysis filters nor haemadsorption cartridges remove antibodies, as their size (eg, 150 kDa for IgG) far exceeds the upper size of molecules that can be removed with RRT or haemadsorption (around 60 kDa).14

Lung-protective ventilation with tidal volume at 6 mL/kg predicted body weight might lead to hypercapnia, respiratory acidosis, increased need for vasopressors, and AKI. In these patients, extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal (ECCO2R) might help to avoid progression of clinical severity.15 If respiratory exchanges further deteriorate, ECMO might be indicated. However, limitations to the provision of ECMO during the COVID-19 outbreak include a lack of recruitable ECMO consoles or disposable equipment, suitably trained staff, and rooms with the requisite infrastructure. In centres with available dialysis, low-flow ECCO2R (<500 mL/min) using CRRT platforms could be carried out by dialysis specialists at any time of the day and might be an option for patients with hypercapnia as the main indication. This approach, however, should be tested in future clinical trials. When CRRT is coupled with ECCO2R, clinicians should maintain a blood flow of >400 mL/min to ensure adequate carbon dioxide removal.13

In some patients, bacterial infection co-occurs with SARS-CoV-2 infection and a sepsis-like syndrome can develop. In such patients, the use of sequential extracorporeal therapies10–15,20,21 (eg, endotoxin removal, cytokine removal and immunomodulation, ECOS for various organs) should be considered according to current evidence or pathophysiological rationale, as clinical progression can be rapid and changes in pathophysiology over the disease course might indicate different treatment approaches during the ICU stay.

Conclusions and future perspectives

In the absence of specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 treatments, supportive care and use of sequential extracorporeal therapies for critically ill patients with evidence of kidney involvement provide a life support bridge to recovery and enhance the probability of a favourable outcome. The decision to use sequential extracorporeal therapies should take into account the technical effort and dedicated skills of the multidisciplinary staff that are needed for safe and effective therapy delivery. Careful patient selection for sequential extracorporeal therapies is necessary because age and comorbidities seem to influence outcomes in critically ill patients with COVID-19.21

Further research is needed to improve understanding of AKI secondary to COVID-19, to obtain adequate evidence to support the clinical approaches discussed here, and to develop new approaches to monitoring and
management (panel). Fostering an international collaborative and cross-disciplinary research culture will be crucial to rigorously test therapies in clinical trials and to rapidly identify patients with COVID-19 who are at risk of AKI and who stand to benefit from established and emerging therapeutic approaches.
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